

Philip B. Miner, Jr., MD¹; Philip O. Katz, MD²; Yusong Chen, PhD³; Mark B. Sostek, MD³
¹Oklahoma Foundation for Digestive Research, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK; ²Department of Medicine, Graduate Hospital, Philadelphia, PA; ³Clinical Science, AstraZeneca LP, Wilmington, DE

Phillip B. Miner, Jr., MD¹; Phillip O. Katz, MD²; Yusong Chen, PhD³; Mark B. Sostek, MD³

¹Oklahoma Foundation for Digestive Research, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK; ²Department of Medicine, Graduate Hospital, Philadelphia, PA; ³Clinical Science, AstraZeneca LP, Wilmington, DE

- Esomeprazole 40 mg once daily provided significantly more hours in a 24-hour period of intragastric acid suppression at steady state compared with standard doses of lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole or rabeprazole in patients with GERD.

- Intragastric pH was maintained at >4.0 for 12 or more hours in a significantly larger percentage of patients treated with standard dose esomeprazole relative to all other proton pump inhibitors.

Proton pump inhibitors owe their clinical efficacy to their ability to suppress gastric acid secretion via the inhibition of H⁺/K⁺-adenosine triphosphatase in gastric parietal cells.¹

- Esomeprazole, the first proton pump inhibitor developed as a single enantiomer, has an enhanced pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profile. This results in a more effective and longer lasting inhibition of gastric acid secretion over the 24-hour dosing period.
- Intragastric acid suppression is the most direct measure of the pharmacodynamics of proton pump inhibitors. The number of hours in a 24-hour period that intragastric pH is ≥ 4.0 is one of the key parameters used to assess the effects of proton pump inhibitors,² and has clinical relevance for the treatment of GERD.
- Mucosal healing rates in erosive esophagitis can be correlated with the duration that intragastric pH is maintained above 4.0.³
- To date, no single study has compared the pharmacodynamics of the standard doses of all available proton pump pump inhibitors.

● To compare the effect of standard doses of esomeprazole versus standard doses of omeprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole and rabeprazole on 24-hour intragastric pH at steady state.

● A randomized, single-center, open-label, 5-way crossover study was conducted to determine the 24-hour intragastric pH profile following 5 days of once-daily oral PPI administration.

- Patients between 18 to 60 years of age who experienced heartburn for an average of at least 2 days per month during the 2 months prior to screening were eligible for enrollment.
- Patients received esomeprazole 40 mg, lansoprazole 30 mg, omeprazole 20 mg, pantoprazole 40 mg, or rabeprazole 20 mg orally, once daily on 5 consecutive mornings, 30 minutes prior to a standardized breakfast. Each treatment period was separated by a washout period of 10–17 days during which no PPI was taken.
- Patients were randomly assigned to receive the comparators in one of five sequences. Patients must have completed all five treatment periods to have been considered evaluable.
- A calibrated electrode attached to a Medtronic Digirappter™ pH data logger (Medtronic, Shoreview, MN) was positioned 10 cm distal to the manometrically located lower esophageal sphincter and used to evaluate intragastric pH every 4 seconds for 24 hours beginning immediately before the dose on day 5.
- The primary pharmacodynamic end point of this study was the number of hours in a 24-hour period that intragastric pH of each study drug was ≥ 4.0 on day 5 of treatment. The least squares mean and standard error of the mean were calculated for each treatment group using a mixed model analysis of variance with effect for subject, period and treatment, in which subject is random effect.
- Secondary end points included between subject differences in the 24-hour mean pH on day 5 and the percentage of patients with pH ≥ 4.0 for 12 or more hours.
- *P*-values for the percentage of patients with pH ≥ 4.0 for 12 or more hours were analyzed using a repeated measurement logistic model with effects for subject, period, and treatment.
- It was calculated that 30 evaluable patients would be required to provide 95% overall power to detect a difference of 12.4% (3 hours) between esomeprazole 40 mg once daily and any of the other four comparators.

- Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the 34 evaluable patients are summarized in Table 1.

Female gender, n (%)	26.0 (76.5)
Age, y, Mean (SD)	44.1 (11.0)
Caucasian race, n (%)	31.0 (91.2)
Height, cm, Mean (SD)	167.6 (8.5)
Weight, kg, Mean (SD)	83.2 (18.1)
Body mass index, kg/m ² , Mean (SD)	29.4 (5.1)
Heartburn ≥ 3 times/wk, n (%)	23.0 (67.6)

SD = standard deviation

- All five treatment groups had a mean of greater than 23.75 hours of evaluable data.
- The mean number of hours on day 5 that intragastric pH was ≤ 4.0 is shown in Figure 1. Treatment with esomeprazole provided significantly more hours with intragastric pH ≤ 4.0 compared with all other proton pump inhibitors.
- A statistically significant increase in mean pH was demonstrated for esomeprazole 40 mg once daily compared with all of the other proton pump inhibitors (Table 2).
- A significantly higher percentage of patients had an intragastric pH ≤ 4.0 for ≥ 12 hours during treatment with esomeprazole than

Concentration (mg)	Approximate Number of Colonies
60	1.6
40	1.3
20	1.2
10	1.2
0	0.9

Figure 1. Mean number of hours on day 5 that intragastric pH was ≥ 4.0 by treatment group ($N = 34$).

Treatment Group	% of patients with an increase of $\geq 10\%$
Placebo	~75
10 mg	~45
20 mg	~55
40 mg	~55
80 mg	~40

Treatment	Mean pH (SEM)
Omeprazole 40 mg	4.04 (0.16)*
Rabeprazole 20 mg	3.70 (0.17)
Omeprazole 20 mg	3.54 (0.17)
Lansoprazole 30 mg	3.56 (0.15)
Pantoprazole 40 mg	3.33 (0.17)

Ureanu et al. / JOINTS PREPARED IN MISS

* $P < 0.0001$ for comparison between esomeprazole versus lansoprazole, omeprazole and pantoprazole; $P = 0.003$ for comparison between esomeprazole and rabeprazole.

1. Sethi, G. et al. The physiology of the gastric acid pump: the H⁺K⁺ ATPase. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1995; 35:327-350.
2. Johnson LL, Dikkesetter VT. Development of the 24-hour intragastric pH monitoring capsule and computerized system. *Clin Gastroenterol* 1984; 16(Suppl):135-38.
3. Winters GJ, Morgan TM. Computer II. Wu WC, Datt DQ, Sindler RW, Richter JE. Ambulatory 24-hour gastric pH monitoring. *Dig Dis Sci* 1988; 33:1191-1193.
4. Jantunen JR, Sethi H, Dikkesetter VT, Boudreau L, Schwert W, Hinder RA, Albersheim W. Ambulatory 24-hour intragastric pH monitoring: a new method for measuring gastric acidity. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1993; 87(1):101-105.
5. Bell, NJ. 1992. Acid suppression for the management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Digestion* 1992; 53(Suppl):159-67.

Supported by a grant from AstraZeneca LP, Wilmington, DE, USA